SCOTT MORRIS TEXIDOR vs TROMBERG, MORRIS, & POULIN

August 16, 2023 97–100

Page 97 Page 99 S. Morris 1 S. Morris 2 MR. KESHAVARZ: Sorry for 2 they be licensed, especially in the city of 3 New York, they have to be DCA licensed, and 3 interrupting. 4 there has to be oversight on those process Q. And at what point did Stephen 5 servers. Einstein stop using ABC Process Service? 6 6 Q. Anything else besides those A. I have no idea. requirements? 7 Q. So does that mean that it was when 7 8 A. Nope. 8 you were not at the firm? 9 Q. And in 2008 you were using your 9 A. I believe it was prior to me 10 codefendant ABC Process Service for service leaving the firm, but I don't know the dates of consumer debt collection lawsuits: and when he made the decision. 11 12 correct? 12 Q. What was the basis of the decision? 13 13 A. Like I said, I don't know why he A. I believe that's correct. 14 Q. And who made the decision to use 14 did or when he did. 15 Q. Did you ever communicate with Jay 15 ABC Process Service for that service? 16 Brodsky in 2008? 16 A. I believe it was Stephen Einstein. 17 A. I've communicated with Jay Brodsky. 17 Q. And was that the only process 18 I couldn't tell you if in 2008 I communicated 18 service firm that was being used at that time 19 for consumer debt collection lawsuits? 19 with him. A. I believe, but I can't confirm 20 Q. And did you communicate with him 20 21 pursuant to your work at Stephen Einstein? 21 that. 22 Q. And every attorney at your firm in 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. And what were you communicating 23 2008 would have understood that a truthful 24 with him about? 24 Affidavit of Service was necessary to enter a default judgment against a consumer; correct? 25 A. We'd communicate based upon process Page 98 Page 100 1 S. Morris S. Morris 2 A. Correct. 2 of service. We'd communicate on compliance 3 management work. I know one of the things we 3 Q. And your firm would -- I think you 4 did institute I believe with Jay and then previously testified to this, but your firm 5 would retain copies of Affidavits of Service 5 predecessors is once we started seeing stuff 6 for seven years; correct? 6 like the Pfau case, which obviously wasn't 7 ABC, it was a different process server, try 7 A. Potentially more. We closed them 8 seven years from the date of the file being 8 and institute some type of reporting just to 9 closed. So if a file was open for ten years, look for potential sewer service infractions. 10 it would be open for another seven years from 10 Q. And when was that instituted? 11 the closure date. 11 A. I couldn't tell you the year. 12 Q. I see. So that seven-year 12 Q. Was it after 2008? 13 retention period is based on whether the file 13 A. I don't remember. 14 14 is closed or not in your system? Q. Could you determine what year that 15 15 was? A. Correct. 16 16 A. It was probably somewhere around Q. And is that automatic, or is it 17 something that they manually go through and when Pfau was going through the court system. Q. How long does your firm retain 18 determine if it's more than seven years? 18 19 emails? 19 A. It's done on an annual basis. 20 MR. KESHAVARZ: Wait. Did you say 20 A. I believe it's a seven-year 21 retention period as well. it was done on an annual basis 21 22 Q. And was that the case in 2008? 22 automatically or annual basis manually? 23 23 I'm sorry. I didn't catch that. A. I don't believe so.

24

25



THE WITNESS: Manually on an annual

24

25

basis.

Q. Do you know what it was in 2008?

A. I don't think there was a policy as